
From: poppajhb@aol.com
Sent: Thursday, August 11, 2022 4:28 PM
To: ATG Ballot Comments
Subject: [EXT] Draft Ballot Explanation Submission

From: John and Mary Baldrige, _____, Pierre, SD 57501

To acting Attorney General Mark Vargo:

Submitted herewith are our comments regarding the Proposed Constitutional Amendment on Abortion.

Mr. Vargo, let us set out here in a very passionate response to the most obvious and very Democratically/Planned Parenthood type of laced language for this Proposed Amendment from your website.

It reflects a very distinct bias to be pro-abortion and does not line up with South Dakota's Trigger Law of 2005 which was activated by the overturning of Roe v. Wade.

In all previous state ballots where we have seen Amendments offered to voters, there was always at the bottom of the balloted Amendments and explanation of what a YES or NO vote would mean. There was no explanation of what either vote would mean to the voter in your present proposed Amendment.

THIS MUST BE CORRECTED WITH EXPLANATIONS ADDED.

For example: We request that you add explanations based on Truth to the Voters of South Dakota such as the following;

A YES vote would reverse the Trigger Law of 2005 and change the current law to allow more abortions in South Dakota, as described above.

A NO vote to this Amendment would reject any changes to the current Trigger Law of 2005 that was activated with the reversal of Roe v. Wade and is currently in place as law. This vote would keep Governor Noem's position in place that South Dakota would be one of the most Abortion Free states in America.

Under God The People Rule...and It is for Righteousness in Government we stand.

John and Mary Baldrige

John and Mary Baldrige