

OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

FEB 27 2023

SD Secretary of State

MARTY J. JACKLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL 1302 East Highway 14, Suite 1 Pierre, South Dakota 57501-8501 Phone (605) 773-3215 Fax (605) 773-4106 http://atg.sd.gov

MARK W. BARNETT CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

February 27, 2023

Honorable Monae L. Johnson Secretary of State 500 E. Capitol Pierre, SD 57501

RE: Attorney General's Statement (Constitutional Amendment Prohibiting Taxes on Anything Sold for Human Consumption)

Dear Secretary Johnson,

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed constitutional amendment, in final form, that the sponsor submitted to this Office. In accordance with state law, I hereby file the enclosed Attorney General's Statement for this constitutional amendment.

By copy of this letter, I am providing a copy of the proposed Statement to the sponsor.

Very truly yours,

Marty J. Jackley ATTORNEY GENERAL

MJJ/dd Enc. 1 10 1 and

SECRETARY OF STATE

cc/encl: Richard P. Weiland

Reed Holwegner - Legislative Research Council

RECEIVED

FEB 27 2023

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S STATEMENT

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT

SD Secretary of State

<u>Title</u>: An Initiated Amendment Prohibiting Taxes on Anything Sold for Human Consumption.

Explanation:

Currently, the State collects tax on the sale or use of certain goods, including foods and drinks. Many municipalities also collect these taxes.

This initiated amendment overrides existing laws and prohibits the State from collecting sales or use tax on anything sold for human consumption. The amendment eliminates these sources of revenue for the State.

Human consumption is not defined by state law. However, its common definition includes more than foods and drinks.

The amendment does not prohibit the collection of sales or use tax on alcoholic beverages or prepared food. Prepared food is defined by law to include food that is sold heated or with utensils.

The amendment may affect the State's obligations under the tobacco master settlement agreement and the streamlined sales tax agreement. The master settlement agreement resulted from multi-state lawsuits against cigarette manufacturers for the public health effects of smoking. South Dakota's annual share of the master settlement agreement is approximately \$20 million. The streamlined sales tax agreement is a multistate program designed to simplify the collection of sales and use tax for companies selling in multiple jurisdictions.

Judicial clarification of the amendment will be necessary. The Legislature cannot alter the provisions of a constitutional amendment.

Filed this <u>27th</u> day of <u>February 2023</u>
Monae L. Jahnson

SECRETARY OF STATE

DEC - 9 2022

By email and U.S. Mail

Mark.Vargo@state.sd.us Attorney General Mark Vargo 1302 E. Hwy 14, #1 Pierre, SD 57501

Monae.Johnson@state.sd.us Secretary of State Monae Johnson 500 E. Capitol Ave. Ste. 204 Pierre, SD 57501 Reed.Holwegner@sdlegislature.gov Director Reed Holwegner, LRC 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501

RECEIVED

FEB 2 7 2023

SD Secretary of State

Greetings:

In accordance with SDCL 12-13-25.1, I submit the following proposed initiated amendment to the Constitution in final form:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:

That Article XI of the Constitution of the State of South Dakota be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:

The state may not tax the sale of anything sold for human consumption, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. Municipalities may continue to impose such taxes.

I ask the Attorney General to prepare the necessary title and explanation for this initiated amendment. Per SDCL 2-9-30, I ask the Director of the Legislative Research Council to prepare the necessary fiscal note for it.

Because of the delay in our ability to begin collecting signatures caused by the Attorney General's misinterpretation of our previous submission on this subject, please *expedita* your response to this request. Thank you.

Rick Weiland

Dakotans for Health

P.O. Box 2063

Sioux Falls, SD 57101

Filed this _ 27#

day of

February 2023

SECRETARY

SECRETARY OF STATE



SOUTH DAKOTA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE

445 East Capitol Avenue • Pierre, SD 57501 (605) 773-3311 • dor.sd.gov

FEB 17, 2023

February 17, 2023

HAND DELIVERED

Attorney General Jackley:

Respectfully, the South Dakota Department of Revenue ("Department") provides the following comments and observations regarding your Constitutional Amendment Draft Attorney General's Statement regarding "An Initiated Amendment Prohibiting Taxes on Anything Sold for Human Consumption." Your Draft was received by the South Dakota Secretary of State on February 7, 2023.

In the fifth paragraph of your draft, you correctly note concerns regarding the master settlement agreement. The Department submits that an additional, similar concern arises regarding the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement.

South Dakota has been a participant in the Streamlined Sales Tax Project since its inception. The Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement was first adopted in 2002. South Dakota's participation in the Streamlined Sales Tax Project was vital to the United States Supreme Court's decision in South Dakota v. Wayfair, 585 U.S. ____, 138 S.Ct. 2080 (2018), which held that South Dakota could impose its state sales tax on remote sellers making sales into South Dakota.

The Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement requires that the state sales tax base and the municipal sales tax base be identical. Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement, Section 302 A. The state sales tax base of SDCL ch. 10-45 consists of all tangible personal property, services, and products transferred electronically that are sold at retail, except for any tangible personal property, services, or products transferred electronically that are specifically exempt from the state sales tax by a statute in SDCL ch. 10-45.

Currently, the state sales tax rate is 4.5% for all items within the state sales tax base. The Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement requires a state to have one sales tax rate for all items, "except that a member state may impose a single additional rate, which may be zero, on food and food ingredients and drugs as defined by state law pursuant to the Agreement." Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement, Section 308 A (emphasis added).

The language of the proposed Initiated Amendment would create a sales tax <u>exemption</u> for "anything sold for human consumption." Because the state sales tax base and the municipal sales tax base must be the same, the adoption of an <u>exemption</u> for "anything sold for human consumption" in South Dakota would mean that the state sales tax base and the municipal sales tax base would not include as taxable "anything sold for human consumption."

The Initiated Amendment includes the sentence "[m]unicipalities may continue to impose such taxes." The legal import of this sentence is in doubt. The sentence could signal that the state sales tax base and the municipal sales tax base are going to be different, one with and one without the "anything sold for human consumption" items subject to the respective sales taxes. Alternatively, the sentence could signal that the municipalities have a newfound source of authority to impose a new type of sales tax, not present in current law (SDCL ch. 10-52). Either interpretation would likely place the South Dakota sales tax system in conflict with the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement, which is of significant concern to the Department.

Finally, the Department agrees with your observation that "[j]udicial clarification of the amendment will be necessary." The ambiguities and consequences of using the undefined phrase "anything sold for human consumption" will likely require further clarification should the Initiated Amendment ultimately be approved by the voters. It is unclear to the Department why the drafters of the Initiated Amendment used the undefined phrase "anything sold for human consumption" instead of statutorily defined terms such as "food" and "food ingredients."

The Initiated Amendment raises many questions in a complicated area of law. It is a challenge to craft a meaningful Attorney General's Statement within the statutory word limit. Please accept these comments in the manner intended, to be helpful and informative, and consider adding to the Statement the negative impact the measure would have on South Dakota's compliance with the Streamlined Sales and Use Tax Agreement.

Respectfully,

Michael S. Houdyshell

Secretary

South Dakota Department of Revenue

cc: Katie Hruska, General Counsel, Office of the Governor

Dougherty, Debbie

om:

Jim Leach <jim@southdakotajustice.com> Wednesday, February 15, 2023 12:15 PM

To:

ATG Ballot Comments

Cc: Subject:

rickweiland.com, rick
[EXT] Response to Draft Attorney General's Statement re: Proposed Constitutional

Amendment and Initiated Law re: Sales Tax on Food and Drink

Dear Attorney General Jackley:

I am the attorney for Rick Weiland and Dakotans for Health, who submitted the proposed initiated constitutional measure and law concerning sales tax on food and drink. I write in response to your Draft Attorney General's Statements for these proposals. Because they are in substance identical, I address both in this letter.

Your Drafts raise more questions than they answer. I respectfully ask that you clarify your Drafts as follows, so that they are "clear" and "simple" and so they "educate the voters of the purpose and effect" of the proposed measures, in accordance with SDCL 12-13-25.1.

Please specifically state that municipalities' ability to collect sales tax is not fected. While this might seem obvious, Attorney General Vargo's position to the contrary, which he refused to explain, and which directly contradicted the LRC's opinion, at the least muddied the waters.

The draft says that the "common definition" of "[h]uman consumption" includes more than "foods and drinks." We don't know what you refer to. Please be more specific.

The draft says that eliminating the State sales tax on food "may prevent the State from carrying out its obligations under the tobacco master settlement agreement" (emphasis added). Then it says that South Dakota's annual share of the agreement is about \$20 million. Are you saying that the entire \$20 million "may" be at stake? Or some part of it? And what is the likelihood of this occurring? Please be more specific.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ James D. Leach

James D. Leach

Constraint in the state of the

Andrews Committee (A. Committee Comm

er estas tyre es. Pilo, Respons copation To.

y grifty. In the graduate in cost is

on the property of the state of

o og eg beskrig i skolenderførk forende mogenskrigener et milikade setten over elske forenir. Eg e en rekeppresir skolende frår skipler i talle avom fredt værk skipler i lære en fredere i skillen. Det ek i elleg eller et loggerfættende skiplerbellete blekenfring att fre foretiler i lærege i here.

una de como esta esta de la completa del completa de la completa del completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la completa de la completa del la com

okuri mateur u stem i jirije i ogrikani posansko endit to u mat kinda och v Jase i su odlje o od je godina kom kron i Nobb VVIII addine i raksu selit arkke uma koču um

a all an end

JDL/hs

FEB 17 2023

JAMES D. LEACH

Attorney at Law 1617 Sheridan Lake Road Rapid City, SD 57702-3483 Tel: (605) 341-4400

Fax: (605) 341-4400

Legal Secretary Verma J. Stehly

jim@southdakotajustice.com

February 15, 2023

By email and U.S. Mail

Legal Assistant

Raquel L. Vokenroth, CLA

Attorney General Marty Jackley Office of the Attorney General 1302 E Hwy 14, Suite 1 Pierre SD 57501-8501

Re: Your Draft Statement re proposed Constitutional Amendment and proposed Initiated Law re: Sales Tax on Food and Drink

Dear Attorney General Jackley:

I am the attorney for Rick Weiland and Dakotans for Health, who submitted the proposed initiated constitutional measure and law concerning sales tax on food and drink. I write in response to your Draft Attorney General's Statements for these proposals. Because they are in substance identical, I address both in this letter.

Your Drafts raise more questions than they answer. I respectfully ask that you clarify your Drafts as follows, so that they are "clear" and "simple" and so they "educate the voters of the purpose and effect" of the proposed measures, in accordance with SDCL 12-13-25.1.

Please specifically state that municipalities' ability to collect sales tax is not affected. While this might seem obvious, Attorney General Vargo's position to the contrary, which he refused to explain, and which directly contradicted the LRC's opinion, at the least muddied the waters. 10 8 D. 1 10 8 D. 1

s established the grade

The Block of the

relatively operated listenance (i) and a control of the first section of the control of the cont

The later of the second

this injuries of the face of the second property of the second of the se

erecond for the state of the st

on and the state of the state of the special state is the state of the state of the state of the state of the s and the state of the st

ang arawan dia menenggi perbiasasian dagai bermasa pelipinap anaka benasi da adalah labih. Benganak kenenggan adalah diang Malaka benasa pelipinan kewasa di menelah da adalah di sebagai benasa di mene Benganak menanggan bermajan di 1979an menelah di meneranggan pelipinan kenenggan bengan di sebagai pelipinan d

a na meson un que procesar embalante cama com un altre metro por los finales emercanos en la engala combenar a Consentra está a meson mana mesta enlagia de la finalestra finalestra de la combena de February 15, 2023 Attorney General Marty Jackley Page 2

- The draft says that the "common definition" of "[h]uman consumption" includes more than "foods and drinks." We don't know what you refer to. Please be more specific.
- The draft says that eliminating the State sales tax on food "may prevent the State from carrying out its obligations under the tobacco master settlement agreement" (emphasis added). Then it says that South Dakota's annual share of the agreement is about \$20 million. Are you saying that the entire \$20 million "may" be at stake? Or some part of it? And what is the likelihood of this occurring? Please be more specific.

Respectfully submitted,

James D. Leach

JDL/hs

cc: Rick Weiland



OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

RECEIVED

FEB 07 2023

SD Secretary of State

MARTY J. JACKLEY ATTORNEY GENERAL 1302 East Highway 14, Suite 1 Pierre, South Dakota 57501-8501 Phone (605) 773-3215 Fax (605) 773-4106 http://atg.sd.gov

MARK W. BARNETT CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

Filed this

day of

February

SECRETARY OF STATE

February 7, 2023

Honorable Monae Johnson Secretary of State 500 E. Capitol Pierre, SD 57501

RE:

Attorney General's Statement (Initiated Amendment Prohibiting Taxes on Anything Sold for Human Consumption)

Dear Secretary Johnson,

Enclosed is a copy of a proposed constitutional amendment, in final form, that the sponsor submitted to this Office. In accordance with state law, I hereby file the enclosed *draft* Attorney General's Statement for the purposes of receiving public comment on the same.

By copy of this letter, I am providing a copy of the *draft* Statement to the sponsor.

Very truly yours,

Marty J. Jackley

ATTORNEY GENERAL

MJJ/dd Enc.

Cc/encl:

Richard P. Weiland

Reed Holwegner - Legislative Research Council

CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT DRAFT ATTORNEY GENERAL'S STATEMENT

RECEIVED

FEB 07 2023

SD Secretary of State

<u>Title</u>: An Initiated Amendment Prohibiting Taxes on Anything Sold for Human Consumption.

Explanation:

Currently, the State collects tax on the sale or use of certain goods, including foods and drinks. Many municipalities also collect tax on the sale or use of goods.

This initiated amendment overrides existing laws and prohibits the State, from collecting sales or use tax on anything sold for human consumption. The amendment eliminates these sources of revenue for the State.

Human consumption is not defined by state law. However, its common definition includes more than foods and drinks.

The amendment does not prohibit the collection of sales or use tax on alcoholic beverages or prepared food. Prepared food is defined by law to include food that is sold heated or with utensils.

The amendment may prevent the State from carrying out its obligations under the tobacco master settlement agreement. The master settlement agreement resulted from multi-state lawsuits against cigarette manufacturers for the public health effects of smoking. South Dakota's annual share of the master settlement agreement is approximately \$20 million.

Judicial clarification of the amendment will be necessary. The Legislature cannot alter the provisions of a constitutional amendment.

SECRETARY OF STATE

RECEIVED

FEB 07 2023

By email and U.S. Mail

SD Secretary of State

Mark.Vargo@state.sd.us Attorney General Mark Vargo 1302 E. Hwy 14, #1 Pierre, SD 57501

Reed.Holwegner@sdlegislature.gov Director Reed Holwegner, LRC 500 E. Capitol Avc. Pierre, SD 57501

Monae.Johnson@state.sd.us Secretary of State Monae Johnson 500 E. Capitol Ave. Ste. 204 Pierre, SD 57501

Greetings:

In accordance with SDCL 12-13-25.1, I submit the following proposed initiated amendment to the Constitution in final form:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:

That Article XI of the Constitution of the State of South Dakota be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:

The state may not tax the sale of anything sold for human consumption, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. Municipalities may continue to impose such taxes.

I ask the Attorney General to prepare the necessary title and explanation for this initiated amendment. Per SDCL 2-9-30, I ask the Director of the Legislative Research Council to prepare the necessary fiscal note for it.

Because of the delay in our ability to begin collecting signatures caused by the Attorney General's misinterpretation of our previous submission on this subject, please expedita your response to this request. Thank you.

Rick Weiland

Dakotans for Health

P.O. Box 2063

Sioux Falls, SD 57101

Filed this _

7+1

day of

February ?

Monae L. Johnson

SECRETARY OF STATE

PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE LEE SCHOENBECK, CHAIR | SPEAKER SPENCER GOSCH, VICE CHAIR REED HOLWEGNER, DIRECTOR | SUE CICHOS, DEPUTY DIRECTOR | JUSTIN GOETZ, CODE COUNSEL

500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, SD 57501 | 605-773-3251 | SDLEGISLATURE.GOV

January 5, 2023



Attorney General

JAN - 6 2023

Hon. Monae Johnson Secretary of State 500 E Capitol Avenue Pierre, SD 57501

Dear Secretary Johnson,

The Legislative Research Council (LRC) received an initiated amendment to reduce the tax on anything sold for human consumption, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. The initiated amendment requires a fiscal note because it was determined it may have an impact on revenues, expenditures, or fiscal liability of the state or its agencies and subdivisions. SDCL 2-9-31 requires the fiscal note be no longer than fifty words. If this amendment is approved by the people of South Dakota, the amendment will take effect on July 1, 2025.

The fiscal note examines the fiscal impacts associated with no longer taxing anything sold for human consumption, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. The methodology revolves around calculating the revenue generated with and without the exemption based the proportion of total expenditures attributable to food. Data on expenditures of food at home from the U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics, state sales tax revenues, and other research and data contributed to this methodology. The South Dakota Department of Revenue was contacted to provide relevant data for this analysis.

The model produced an estimate showing a reduction in state sales tax of \$123.9 million for fiscal year FY2026, beginning July 1, 2025. Municipalities could still tax anything sold for human consumption. The actual amount of state sales tax revenue lost will vary from this estimate, as it is a point-in-time estimate completed at least two years prior to implementation.

In any fiscal estimate, assumptions must be made as to future economic activity and the meaning of words found in the initiated proposal filed with the Secretary of State. In neither the draft proposal nor the LRC's review, dated December 2, 2022, is the phrase "anything sold for human consumption" used or suggested. For purposes of this fiscal note, the LRC assumes that phrase only includes food items because of the modifying language "except alcoholic beverages and prepared food" and does not include personal tangible property and services, both of which can also be sold for human consumption. Other assumptions as to the meaning of this phrase may be just as reasonable, if not more so.

The Control of the Co

entrale designation in the contract of the con

on and to bed of includien differencial on throstifficial beingen 1969 fanna. It has not in in the constitution In water on the first main't book beginner with the geneve how which a particular and management.

In the constitution of the constitution of the general particular and the definition of the design and the constitution of the constitu

and the companies of the problem of the problem of the problem of the companies of the comp

Enclosed is a copy of the initiated amendment, in final form, that was submitted to this office. In accordance with SDCL 2-9-31, I hereby submit the Legislative Research Council's fiscal note with respect to this initiated amendment.

Sincerely,

Reed Holwegner

Director

Enclosures

Cc: Rick Weiland

Mark Vargo, Attorney General

SOUTH DAKOTA LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH COUNCIL

FISCAL NOTE

INITIATED AMENDMENT

AN INITIATED AMENDMENT TO THE SOUTH DAKOTA CONSTITUTION -THE STATE MAY NOT TAX THE SALE OF ANYTHING SOLD FOR HUMAN CONSUMPTION, EXCEPT ALCOHOLIC BEVERAGES AND PREPARED FOOD.

Beginning July 1, 2025, the State could see a reduction in sales tax revenues of \$123.9 million annually from no longer taxing the sale of anything sold for eating or drinking by humans except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. Municipalities could continue to tax anything sold for human consumption.

Director, Legislative Research Council

December 7, 2022

By email and U.S. Mail

Mark.Vargo@state.sd.us Attorney General Mark Vargo 1302 E. Hwy 14, #1 Pierre, SD 57501

Reed.Holwegner@sdlegislature.gov Director Reed Holwegner, LRC 500 E. Capitol Avc. Pierre, SD 57501

Monae.Johnson@state.sd.us Secretary of State Monae Johnson 500 E. Capitol Ave. Ste. 204 Pierre, SD 57501

Greetings:

In accordance with SDCL 12-13-25.1, I submit the following proposed initiated amendment to the Constitution in final form:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:

That Article XI of the Constitution of the State of South Dakota be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:

The state may not tax the sale of anything sold for human consumption, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. Municipalities may continue to impose such taxes.

I ask the Attorney General to prepare the necessary title and explanation for this initiated amendment. Per SDCL 2-9-30, I ask the Director of the Legislative Research Council to prepare the necessary fiscal note for it.

Because of the delay in our ability to begin collecting signatures caused by the Attorney General's misinterpretation of our previous submission on this subject, please *expedita* your response to this request. Thank you.

Rick Weiland

Dakotans for Health

P.O. Box 2063

Sioux Falls, SD 57101



OFFICE OF ATTORNEY GENERAL

MARK A. VARGO ATTORNEY GENERAL 1302 East Highway 14, Suite 1 Pierre, South Dakota 57501-8501 Phone (605) 773-3215 Fax (605) 773-4106 http://atg.sd.gov

CHARLES D. McGUIGAN CHIEF DEPUTY ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 22, 2022

Richard P. Weiland Dakotans for Health PO Box 2063 Sioux Falls, SD 57101

Re: Proposed Initiated Amendment to the Constitution Concerning Sales
Tax on Food and Beverages – Revised Submission

Dear Mr. Weiland:

This letter acknowledges our receipt of the submission of your revised proposed initiated constitutional amendment concerning sales tax on food and beverages. Your revised proposed amendment was received on December 9, 2022. As required by SDCL 12-13-25.1, the Attorney General will prepare a draft title and explanation for the measure and file it with the Secretary of State on or before February 7, 2023. You will be provided a copy of the title and explanation at the time it is filed.

Sincerely,

Steven R. Blair

Assistant Attorney General

SRB/dd

cc:

Reed Holwegner, Director – Legislative Research Council Hon. Monae L. Johnson, Secretary of State

By email and U.S. Mail

Mark.Vargo@state.sd.us Attorney General Mark Vargo 1302 E. Hwy 14, #1 Pierre, SD 57501 Reed.Holwegner@sdlegislature.gov Director Reed Holwegner, LRC 500 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501

Monae.Johnson@state.sd.us Secretary of State Monae Johnson 500 E. Capitol Ave. Ste. 204 Pierre, SD 57501

Greetings:

In accordance with SDCL 12-13-25.1, I submit the following proposed initiated amendment to the Constitution in final form:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:

That Article XI of the Constitution of the State of South Dakota be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:

The state may not tax the sale of anything sold for human consumption, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. Municipalities may continue to impose such taxes.

I ask the Attorney General to prepare the necessary title and explanation for this initiated amendment. Per SDCL 2-9-30, I ask the Director of the Legislative Research Council to prepare the necessary fiscal note for it.

Because of the delay in our ability to begin collecting signatures caused by the Attorney General's misinterpretation of our previous submission on this subject, please *expedita* your response to this request. Thank you.

Rick Weiland

Dakotans for Health

P.O. Box 2063

Sioux Falls, SD 57101

By email and U.S. Mail

Maric Vargoostate solos Attomey Ceneral Mark Vargo 1302 J. Hwy 14, #1 June 1813 57 501

Roed, Holwugner Sødlegislature gov Director Reed Holwegner, LRC 300 E. Capitol Ave. Pierre, SD 57501

> Nionce Johnson "State ad us Secretary of State Monae Johnson 500 E. Capitol Ave. Ste. 104

> > Greetings:

In accordance with SDCL12-13-25.1, Isubmit the reliewing proposed initiated anced in entire direction in final forms

BETTANACTED BY THE PROFILE OF SOUTH DAKOTA.

lant Arcicle II of the Constitution of the State of South Bakety be assented by Stanga WEW SECTON **to read:**

The state may not tax the sale of anything sold for human consumption, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. Municipalities may continue to impose such taxes.

I ad the attender Concret to execute the constant of the acter distance. It is the milliand amendment. For SDCE 2-9-30, I ask the Director of the Legislative Is search Council to prepare the necessary fiscal note for it.

Because of the delay in our ability to begin collecting signatures caused by the Attorney General's misinice prelation of our previous submission on this subject please expense to this request. Thank your

Weiland

Dakotans (or) tealth

P.O. Box 2063

Sicrax Falls, 3D 77101

DEC -5 2022

PRESIDENT PRO TEMPORE LEE SCHOENBECK, CHAIR | SPEAKER SPENCER GOSCH, VICE CHAIR REED HOLWEGNER, DIRECTOR | SUE CICHOS, DEPUTY DIRECTOR | JUSTIN GOETZ, CODE COUNSEL

500 East Capitol Avenue, Pierre, SD 57501 | 605-773-3251 | sdlegislature.gov



December 2, 2022

Mr. Rick Weiland Dakotans for Health P.O. Box 2063 Sioux Falls, SD 57101

Dear Mr. Weiland:

SDCL 12-13-25 requires the South Dakota Legislative Research Council (LRC) to review each initiated constitutional amendment submitted to it by a sponsor, for the purpose of assisting the sponsor in writing the amendment "in a clear and coherent manner in the style and form of other legislation" that "is not misleading or likely to cause confusion among voters."

LRC encourages you to consider the edits and suggestions to the proposed text. The edits are suggested for sake of clarity and to bring the proposed measure into conformance with the style and form of South Dakota legislation. LRC comments are based upon the Guide to Legislative Drafting, which may be found on the South Dakota legislative website.

Proposed initiated amendment to the Constitution as submitted with comments following:

BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF SOUTH DAKOTA:

That Article XI of the Constitution of the State of South Dakota be amended by adding a NEW SECTION to read:

The state may not tax the sale of anything sold for eating or drinking by humans, except alcoholic beverages and prepared food. This provision has no effect on the taxing authority of municipalities.

1. The proposed language begins with "[t]he state may not tax the sale of anything for eating or drinking..." The proposed language assumes the "state" has the authority to impose a tax on the purchase of food and beverages. The state, on its own and by its very nature, does not have, separate from the law, the authority to impose a tax. The "state" (in most instances an executive branch agency) has the authority, as provided by law, to collect certain taxes. But the law provides the authority to impose a tax. For example, SDCL 10-45-2 provides the following:

There is hereby imposed a tax upon the privilege of engaging in business as a retailer, a tax of four and one-half percent upon the gross receipts of all sales of tangible personal property consisting of goods, wares, or merchandise, except as otherwise provided in this chapter, sold at retail in the State of South Dakota to consumers or users.

In the SDCL 10-45-2 example, the law imposes a tax "upon the privilege of engaging in business as a retailer." Retailers collect the tax and remit it to the state in compliance with the law. The state does not impose the

Weiland - ICA Re: Tax on Food and Beverages

December 2, 2022

Page 2

tax. As a matter of law, even if the proposed constitutional language were to be enacted, the question remains as to whether the tax imposed by SDCL 10-45-2 would still apply to items sold "for eating or drinking by humans," since that section of law requires it, not the "state."

The perceived intent of the proposed constitutional language is to exempt the sale of certain food and beverages from tax. By beginning the proposed constitution text with "[t]he state may not tax," it is unclear if the intent is actually achieved. The proposed language simply states an existing legal reality, namely, that the state does not have the authority to impose a tax on the purchase of food and beverages. If this language were to become effective, the intended effect may not be achieved.

2. By using the term "state" in the proposed constitutional language, municipalities would not be prohibited from enacting a local ordinance requiring a tax on the purchase of food and beverages. This is further clarified by the sentence that reads:

"This provision has no effect on the taxing authority of municipalities."

The clarifying sentence seeks to address the interpretive issue as it relates to the authority of a municipality to tax food and beverages. However, it may not adequately address the interpretation offered suggesting that the authority of a municipality to tax derives entirely from the state's authority to tax, which this proposal presumably seeks to eliminate. In other words, if there is no authority at the state level, there is no authority at the municipal level, thereby making the first sentence potentially conflict with the second sentence. So, it may be argued that the clarifying sentence does not accomplish its intent if one is to give effect to the first sentence.

It may be more exacting to replace the clarifying sentence with the following:

"The exemption provided under this section does not apply to the taxing authority of a municipality. A municipality may tax the retail sale of any food or food ingredient, if that authority is provided by law." (See item 6 below for further drafting suggestions for the proposed amendment language.)

SDCL 10-52-2 provides a municipality with the authority to "impose any non-ad valorem tax," which, based on its plain language, includes the authority to impose a tax on "anything sold for eating or drinking by humans." The two sentences together may provide the clarity needed to distinguish the separate taxing authorities of the state and municipalities.

3. The use of the phrase "the sale of anything sold for eating or drinking by humans" may be overly vague, inviting various interpretations in determining its meaning.

Under current law, the terms "food" and "food ingredients" are defined as follows:

"Food" and "food ingredient," any substance, whether in liquid, concentrated, solid, frozen, dried, or dehydrated form, that is sold for ingestion or chewing by humans and is consumed for its taste or nutritional value. See SDCL 10-45-1.

The statutory definition uses the terms "ingestion," "chewing," and "consumed." These terms seem to be more precise than "eating or drinking," as they may better capture the various elements of food and beverage consumption. Certain food and food ingredients are not purchased specifically for eating or drinking but may be used in the process of making specific foods or beverages. For example, coffee beans are generally neither eaten nor drank. To take a narrow reading of the proposed language, coffee beans

Weiland - ICA Re: Tax on Food and Beverages

December 2, 2022

Page 3

are not sold specifically "for eating or drinking." They cannot be drunk and are not eaten, generally. Given that the statutory definition of "food and food ingredient" is drafted more broadly, it includes "food" such as coffee beans, as they are "sold for ingestion...by humans" and are "consumed for [their] taste." Other examples that may create interpretive questions with the proposed language are chewing gum, seasonings, spices, cooking oils, dietary supplements, etc. None of these examples are sold specifically for eating or drinking, but they are sold for ingestion, chewing, or consumption. "Ingestion," "chewing," and "consumed" are terms with broader application that may better capture the intent of the proposed constitutional language. A rewrite of the language using terms consistent with the statutory definition of "food and food ingredients" may better clarify the intent of the proposed language. If the language is left in its current form, the question remains as to what food and beverages would be subject to tax.

Certain states that exempt the purchase of food and beverages from tax exclude candy, soft drinks, and dietary supplements from the tax exemption. Considering that the proposal is to amend the constitution, which is more difficult to amend than state law, perhaps the sponsors should consider a broader list of exclusions.

- 4. The proposed constitutional language provides two exceptions to the tax prohibition: "alcoholic beverages and prepared food." The proposed language does not define these terms. Without definitions or a directive that definitions must be established by law, there may be questions as to what substances would qualify for the exceptions.
- 5. The sponsors may want to consider the utility of amending the constitution to create a tax exemption for the purchase of certain food and beverages. Since the constitution is more difficult to amend than state law, it may be problematic to address future considerations. For example, if consumable cannabis products are made legal in this state, would the purchase of these items automatically be exempt from tax? Often a stated goal of legalizing cannabis is the increased state revenue. The proposed language may limit future revenues if consumable cannabis products were to be legalized in South Dakota.
- 6. Based on the above comments, a possible rewrite of the proposed constitutional language is suggested as follows:

"The retail sale of any food or food ingredient for any purpose is exempt from any tax imposed by law.

For purposes of this section, "food" and "food ingredients" mean substances, whether in liquid, concentrated, solid, frozen, dried, or dehydrated form, that are sold for ingestion or chewing by humans and are consumed for their taste or nutritional value.

Food and food ingredients exempt under this section do not include alcoholic beverages and prepared food. Alcoholic beverages and prepared food must be defined by law.

The exemption provided under this section does not apply to the taxing authority of a municipality. A municipality may tax the retail sale of any food or food ingredient, if that authority is provided by law."

The proposed rewrite of the language is consistent with how tax exemptions are generally drafted. The exemption makes clear that it only applies to taxes imposed at the "state" level. To eliminate any confusion on the provision's applicability, the last two sentences also make clear that the exemption for food and food ingredients does not apply to municipalities.

Weiland - ICA Re: Tax on Food and Beverages

December 2, 2022

Page 4

The ordinary meaning of "retail sale" is when a business sells a product to an individual consumer for the consumer's own use. The definitions of "food" and "food ingredients" are taken from current law (See SDCL 10-45-1). The suggested language also directs the Legislature to enact laws defining the excepted items.

It may be worth considering whether additional exclusions to the definition of "food" and "food ingredients" should be added, such as candy, soft drinks, and dietary supplements. And additional consideration should be given for future products, such as consumable cannabis products.

- 7. Although a sponsor is not statutorily required to make changes based upon the suggestions and comments provided above, you are encouraged to be cognizant of the standards established in <u>SDCL 12-13-24</u> and <u>12-13-25</u> and ensure that your language is in conformity.
- 8. SDCL 12-13-25 also requires the issuance of a written opinion "as to whether the initiated amendment embraces only one subject under S.D. Const., Art. XXIII, § 1" and whether it is in fact an "amendment under S.D. Const., Art. XXIII, § 1," or a "revision under S.D. Const., Art. XXIII, § 2." The proposed constitutional change appears to embrace only one subject, taxing the purchase of food and beverages. Given the limited nature of the proposed language, it appears to be an amendment and not a revision of the constitution.

Fiscal Impact

It has been determined during this review that this proposed initiated amendment to the Constitution may have an impact on revenues, expenditures, or fiscal liability of the state and its agencies and political subdivisions. Please provide the Legislative Research Council a copy of this initiated amendment to the Constitution as submitted in final form to the Attorney General so the LRC can develop any fiscal note required by SDCL 2-9-30.

Compliance

This letter is issued in compliance with statutory requirements placed upon this office. It is neither an endorsement of the proposed initiated amendment to the Constitution nor a guarantee of its sufficiency. If you proceed with the initiated constitutional amendment, please ensure that neither your statements nor any advertising contain any suggestion of endorsement or approval by the Legislative Research Council.

Sincerely,

Reed Holwegner

Director

Enclosure

CC: The Honorable Steve Barnett, Secretary of State

The Honorable Mark Vargo, Attorney General

Jim Leach