

---

**From:** Simon Montandon <Maximus604@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, March 14, 2023 5:36 PM  
**To:** ATG Ballot Comments  
**Subject:** [EXT] Proposal Question

Sir,

Our great state constitution is obviously a very important document for us. As such it should be treated very carefully, especially when it comes to changes. This opens the door to possible sweeping regulations that whichever political party is in control would severely damage our rights in our currently conservative state. Additionally, this could potentially allow conservatives the power to change the constitution in their favor regardless of what other conservatives believe. I am also curious as to the signatures required. Transforming South Dakota into a more progressive state that passes laws is concerning to me, especially when you could get the majority of signatures required from east river. If this passes I would appreciate a map to show the counties of where the signatures were acquired.

V/R

Simon Montandon

---

**From:** David A. Hubbard <david@hubbardgenesis.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 15, 2023 8:43 AM  
**To:** ATG Ballot Comments  
**Subject:** [EXT] Citizens Rights to Participate in Government

Mr. Jackley,

Why do you seek to prohibit citizen participation in government? It sounds like you want to erect more hurdles for South Dakotans to voice their opinions and make choices for themselves.

In my humble opinion, you should look more closely into those things prohibiting citizen participation rather than creating more roadblocks.

David Hubbard  
2822 Johnson Ranch Road  
Rapid City, SD 57703  
605-381-1127

---

**From:** Brent Cox <brent68cox@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, March 15, 2023 10:07 AM  
**To:** ATG Ballot Comments  
**Subject:** [EXT] One subject constitutional amendment

It was the Republicans that put forth the Amendment in 2018 to force all referendums to be one subject and this was done to stop the recreational Marajuana initiative, and it worked with the help of a state trooper, an appointed judge and a biased Supreme Court. Now that you stopped recreational Marajuana (something the people voted to have) you want to put the former law back in place to get more of what you want.

Forget it and learn to deal with the law you wanted. .

Brent Cox  
Sturgis SD